Teaching Model Innovation for the Photoshop Illustration Drawing Course in Private Universities Empowered by Artificial Intelligence Technology

Fuchun Yuan[1]

[1] *Liaoning Communication University, Shenyang 110000, China*

ABSTRACT

Due to the rapid development of generative artificial intelligence (AIGC) technology, the logic of visual art generation is being reconfigured and reconstructed, which theoretically challenges the teaching reform of digital art courses in private universities. Theoretical basisÂ and operational logic of artificial intelligence technology intervention in the art education For facing the structural contradiction between skill training and creative expression, as well as resource supply and personalized demand in traditional Photoshop illustration teaching under the background of digital transformation of education, this article combs out the epistemological foundation and operational logic behind AI technology intervention in art education. This paper elaborates on this design of man-machine collaborative teaching model based on “double-qualification+intelligence”, and the boundary division of man-machine collaboration, as well as the mapping relationship in each stage. It also proposes a multi-dimensional evaluation system covering from algorithm calling, original integration and aesthetic judgment. The combination of technical empowerment can effectively relieve the bottleneck of teaching quality under the constraint of class hours, and promote students' cognitive focus from tool operation to intention decision-making. Private universities should integrate the value orientation of technical rationality serving to humanistic creation, improve the ethical norms of copyright, and establish a dynamic curriculum renewal mechanism; realize leapfrogging from standardized skills training to innovative literacy cultivation.

Keywords: *Artificial intelligence, Private universities, Illustration teaching, Teaching mode, Man-machine cooperation.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Under the background of a new round of scientific and technological revolution, the rapid development of AI technology is reshaping the social production mode and cognitive model with unprecedented strength [1]. Especially in the field of visual arts, the appearance of AIGC broke the barrier of traditional digital image creation, and shifted the focus of illustration drawing from tedious technical operation to accurate expression of creative thinking [2]. This media change puts forward new skills requirements for the art design industry, and poses a far-reaching theoretical challenge to the teaching of professional courses in higher education [3]. For private universities, the orientation of running a school usually emphasizes application and employment orientation, aiming at cultivating high-quality skilled talents with the ability to adapt to the market quickly [4]. The existing curriculum system of Photoshop illustration drawing mostly follows the traditional path of software function teaching and skill imitation. This linear knowledge transfer model is difficult to meet the personalized and innovative needs in the man-machine collaborative creation environment, which leads to the structural dislocation between talent training and market reality [5].

In this context, exploring how AI technology can empower illustration teaching in private universities is no longer limited to the introduction of a single tool, but has risen to a theoretical reconstruction of educational ecology [6]. This study attempts to go beyond the purely technical

application level and deeply analyze the basis of teaching epistemology under the symbiotic relationship between man and machine. By analyzing the potential influence of technical intervention on the roles of teachers and students, the way of knowledge flow and the evaluation criteria, this article aims to build a theoretical framework of teaching mode that adapts to the characteristics of the digital age. This will help to clarify the dialectical relationship between technical rationality and humanistic quality in art education, solve the contradiction between the scarcity of educational resources and personalized training, and promote the transformation of vocational education in private colleges to connotative high-quality development.

2. REALISTIC DILEMMA AND CHANGE MOTIVATION

At present, Photoshop illustration course in private universities is facing the internal tension between teaching structure and productivity development. The traditional skill-based teaching mode is limited by the period of class hours and the ratio of teachers, and it is difficult to give consideration to basic skill training and high-level creative inspiration, which leads to the lack of overall problem-solving ability of students in the face of complex business projects. The updating iteration of curriculum content lags behind the speed of technological innovation, and the urgent demand of industry for cue engineering and human-computer cooperation ability cannot be effectively supplied through the existing curriculum system [7]. This structural contradiction constitutes the realistic dilemma of teaching reform. The internal driving force of national strategy in the digital transformation of education and the external driving force of AIGC to lower the threshold of creation and release imagination together constitute a powerful motivation for teaching reform. This requires us to break the closed classroom boundary, re-examine the logic of knowledge production and dissemination according to the learning theories of constructivism and connectionism, and deeply integrate AI technology into the whole teaching process, so as to solve the problem of quality improvement under resource constraints and realize the dynamic matching between educational supply and industrial demand.

3. TECHNICAL MECHANISM AND COGNITIVE RECONSTRUCTION

At the macro level, we may question that AIGC is deeply integrated into art education. In the epistemological geography, the learning essence of Photoshop illustration drawing course seems to have deviated fundamentally at a single cell scale. In the new field of man-machine collaboration, learners' cognitive focus must shift from simple "tool manipulation" to deep "intention expression" and "decision judgment" [8]. This change is not simply to replace manual operation with machine labor, but to significantly expand the cognitive boundary of human individuals through algorithm assistance, so that educators can use AI tools as an effective "learning scaffold" to cross students' recent development areas according to Vygotsky's constructivism theory. When the AI model undertakes the complicated technical tasks such as light and shadow rendering or material synthesis, students' brain resources are released, so that they can focus on the establishment of aesthetic orientation and the overall planning of narrative logic, thus reshaping the cognitive closed loop of teaching and learning. In order to explain the cognitive structure changes caused by this mechanism more intuitively, this study constructs the following comparative analysis framework (see "Table 1").

Table 1. Comparative Table of Cognitive Transformation in Illustration Creation

Cognitive Dimension	Characteristics of Traditional Teaching Model	Characteristics of AI-Empowered Teaching Model	Changes in Cognitive Load Distribution
Core Competency Focus	Proficiency in software tool operation	Prompt construction and result selection ability	Shift from rote memorization to semantic association
Creative Process Control	Linear step-by-step progression, emphasizing sequentiality	Parallel iterative generation, emphasizing feedback loops	Shift from one-way execution to two-way interaction
Error Handling Approach	Manual correction of local flaws	Adjusting generation parameters to redraw the overall composition	Shift from technical error correction to solution optimization
Knowledge Acquisition Path	Consulting textbook chapters and imitating videos	Real-time retrieval and cross-domain inspiration recombination	Shift from closed library storage to open network connectivity

While in the AI enhancement mode, for a cognitive object, it is transformed completely into the semantic mapping relationship between cue project and image generation parameters, and

meanwhile attention distribution is more inclined to creative idea feasibility evaluation and creative result artistic screening. This objective fact means that the underlying logic of instructional design must be adjusted from the linear accumulation of knowledge points to the cultivation of nonlinear solving ability of complex problems [9]. From the perspective of connectionism, the paradigm of knowledge flow also puts forward new adaptive requirements. Knowledge points are no longer limited to the closed accumulation of textbook chapters, but are dynamically called through network retrieval and instant generation. Learners must master how to quickly select effective information from massive digital resources and accurately integrate it into specific creative situations, which requires students to have higher information literacy and critical thinking.

4. MODE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION LOGIC

This section is devoted to constructing a teaching model framework that adapts to the reality of private universities, and its core lies in establishing the subject-role relationship of human-computer collaboration and the logic of project-driven task chain. In traditional teaching, teachers generally play the role of skill demonstrator, and the knowledge flow is characterized by one-way transmission. Under the new paradigm of AI empowerment, teachers' functions need to shift from simple skill teaching to the dual orientation of aesthetic guidance and technical consultant, and at the same time, students' subjective status has been significantly improved and transformed into "digital directors" who can control intelligent tools. This redefinition of the main role requires a fundamental change in the teaching process, that is, to build a standardized operation process that includes four stages: conceptual conception, AI-assisted generation, artificial fine decoration and business scene landing. The framework in "Table 2" defines the key points and expected output targets of man-machine cooperation in each stage in detail, and provides an operable implementation path for front-line teachers. In this mode, teaching is no longer a linear knowledge infusion, but a dynamic adjustment system based on real-time feedback, which ensures that technical tools always serve the thinking extension of the creative subject.

Table 2. Mapping Table of Human-Machine Collaborative Teaching Process and Competencies

Teaching Phase	Core Tasks	Collaboration Focus	Competency Indicators	Ethical Considerations
Conceptual Ideation Phase	Theme setting and storyboard drawing	Teacher guides intentions, students establish plans	Visual narrative planning ability	Confirmation of creative originality
AI Assistance Phase	Prompt engineering and batch generation	Model provides samples, students select and optimize	Algorithmic instruction expression ability	Screening for content compliance
Fine Refinement Phase	Local repair and stylistic integration	Human decision-making for corrections, tool execution for fine-tuning	Advanced software operation ability	Definition of copyright ownership
Commercial Implementation Phase	Project delivery and scenario application	Platform release, joint review by teachers and students	Adaptability to professional norms	Intellectual property legal risks
Reflective Evaluation Phase	Project review and ethical summary	Systematic analysis of strengths and weaknesses, strategy revision	Critical thinking and self-efficacy	Early warning of technology dependence

At each stage, the boundary between people and technology is defined, and the inertia of thinking caused by technology abuse is avoided. In terms of operational logic, this project-driven model emphasizes process iteration rather than one-off results, which means that every generation and modification is an opportunity for learning. Matching this is a multi-dynamic process evaluation system, which advocates replacing single score evaluation with result orientation, and including algorithm calling ability, originality integration degree and aesthetic completion degree into the evaluation index. Teachers need to develop a quantitative evaluation scale, focusing on students' correction strategies and value judgments in the face of AI output deviation, rather than just looking at the aesthetics of the final picture. This change in evaluation mechanism forces students to deeply understand the generation principle and maintain active control over the works. The evaluation data will in turn guide teachers to adjust the next round of counseling strategies and form a closed-loop management. The evaluation results are not only used for credit identification, but also as data support for curriculum iteration, which promotes the dynamic updating of teaching content with the development of technology, thus ensuring the vitality and foresight of teaching mode. In this

way, it can always meet the real needs of rapid changes in the industry, ensure that the quality of personnel training does not deviate in the wave of technology, and finally realize the organic integration of technical rationality and humanistic literacy.

5. ETHICAL EXAMINATION AND FUTURE PROSPECT

The deep penetration of AI technology in the field of education has led to complex ethical issues about the ownership of originality and the maintenance of subjectivity, which directly impacts the value evaluation system of traditional art education. At present, the copyright ownership of images generated by generative model is in a legal blank, which challenges the current legal basis of copyright, and requires educators to clearly define academic integrity standards and students' original consciousness in classroom teaching, and resolutely prevent the homogenization degradation and loss of subjectivity of students' artistic perception caused by algorithm dependence. When learners are too immersed in the technical rational operation of the cue project, their humanistic quality and aesthetic judgment are at risk of being marginalized, so educational practice must establish a teaching value orientation in which technical rationality and humanistic spirit balance each other.

In the future sustainable development prospect under the big background, private colleges and universities should actively explore and build a new ecology of AI aesthetic education with strong adaptability in face of such situation, and form a dynamic curriculum adjustment mechanism that can dynamically update with technical iteration to ensure that the professional teaching content will always realize the constant frequency resonance with frontier development of industry. Educational institutions should advocate cross-school resource sharing and ethical discussion platforms, promote the formation of community awareness of coordinated development among institutions in the region, and jointly meet the common challenges brought by technology. The focus of educators' duties should shift from inculcating static knowledge points to cultivating students' digital viability and deep critical thinking, so as to meet the diversified needs of career development in the era of man-machine symbiosis. Finally, through the two-way interaction between perfecting the ethical standard system and optimizing the teaching operation mode, the deep integration of technology and humanities will be realized, and higher education will be promoted to maintain its unique educational value and cultural responsibility in the digital wave.

6. CONCLUSION

This study systematically illustrates the feasibility and necessity of AI technology to empower Photoshop illustration course in private universities, and profoundly reveals the core of educational ecological reconstruction, that is, the subversive adjustment of cognitive model and subject relationship. Because of its application-oriented orientation, private universities need to respond to industry technology iterations quickly, while the traditional teaching mode is difficult to give consideration to efficiency and innovation. The solution proposed in this study is tailor-made for such universities. By analyzing the deconstruction effect of technical intervention on traditional teaching productivity, this article constructs a set of modular teaching process which integrates conceptual conception, man-machine collaboration, fine decoration and commercial landing, and effectively responds to the compound requirements of rapid industrial change for talent ability. The research emphasizes integration rather than substitution, and points out that technology should not be a tool to replace human creativity, but should be an intelligent scaffold to expand cognitive boundaries, help learners break through cumbersome technical barriers, and focus on the expression and decision-making of core creativity. In the dimension of ethical examination, this article discusses the risk of vague ownership of copyright and loss of subjectivity, and advocates strengthening students' critical thinking and academic integrity consciousness in teaching practice to prevent the tendency of aesthetic homogenization caused by excessive dependence on algorithms.

This article further enriches the theoretical pedigree of technology-enabled higher vocational education, and clarifies the interaction norms between educational subjects and technical objects. Only through the double guarantee of system innovation, ethical norms and curriculum reform can we ensure that technology plays a positive role in art education and realize the harmonious coexistence of humanistic spirit and technical rationality in the digital age.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research is a phased achievement of the project “Research on AI-Enabled Teaching Mode of Animation Major in Private Colleges—Taking Liaoning Province as an Example” (Project No.: LMJX2025166), which was approved and initiated by the Liaoning Private Education Association in 2025.

REFERENCES

[1] Hu Xiaoyu, Jia Lu, Yang Chunlei. Research on AIGC-Enabled Teaching Reform of Illustration Design Courses in Higher Vocational Colleges[J]. Art Education Research, 2025, (11): 162-164.

[2] Anantrasirichai N, Bull D. Artificial intelligence in the creative industries: a review[J]. Artificial Intelligence Review, 2022, 55(1): 589-656.

[3] Peng Jiawei, Xie Bihong. Research on Teaching Practice of Photoshop Course in Higher Vocational Colleges under the Background of AIGC[J]. Information & Computer, 2025, 37(23): 186-189.

[4] Tapalova O, Zhiyenbayeva N. Artificial intelligence in education: AIEd for personalised learning pathways[J]. Electronic Journal of e-Learning, 2022, 20(5): 639-653.

[5] Liu Wenhua. AIGC-Enabled Teaching of Illustration Design and Production Course in Higher Vocational Colleges[J]. Shanghai Clothing, 2025, (04): 153-155.

[6] Yang Qiuju. Construction and Practice of Smart Classroom Teaching Model Based on AR Technology: A Case Study of Photoshop Graphic Design Course[J]. Journal of Suzhou Education Institute, 2023, 26(01): 52-56. DOI: 10.13985/j.cnki.34-1227/c.2023.01.012.

[7] Vartiainen H, Tedre M. Using artificial intelligence in craft education: crafting with text-to-image generative models[J]. Digital Creativity, 2023, 34(1): 1-21.

[8] Doroudi S. The intertwined histories of artificial intelligence and education[J]. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 2023, 33(4): 885-928.

[9] Fang F, Jiang X. The analysis of artificial intelligence digital technology in art education under the internet of things[J]. IEEE Access, 2024, 12: 22928-22937.